

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,239	10/21/2003		Aaron L. Hill	ST8723US	4927
22203	7590	03/28/2006		EXAM	INER
KUSNER &	JAFFE JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE PLACE SUITE 310				
*********	6151 WILSON MILLS ROAD ART UNIT PAPER				
HIGHLAND	HEIGHTS	S, OH 44143		1744	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		f~
	Application No. Applicant(s)	
	10/690,239	HILL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Krisanne Jastrzab	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONUTE, cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	January 2006.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 7-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	,
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•
	-xammer. Note the attached	7 Office Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		polication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et. al. WO 01/21223, in view of Childers U.S. Patent No. 5,906,794.

Martin et. al. teach a vapor decontamination system for decontaminating a defined region, the system comprising: a chamber defining a region (See Figures 1 and 2; sealed chamber 10); a generator for generating a vaporized hydrogen peroxide from a solution of hydrogen peroxide and water (See Figures 1 and 2; See page 5 preferred that sterilant vapor is hydrogen peroxide and water vapor; liquid sterilant supply 27 and evaporator 26); a closed loop circulating system for supplying the vaporized hydrogen peroxide to the region (See Figures 1 and 2; circulating conduit 12); a destroyer within the closed loop circulating system for breaking down the vaporized hydrogen peroxide (See Figures 1 and 2; See page 5 - the hydrogen peroxide extracted from the chamber with the circulating gas is subjected to catalytic action to break the hydrogen peroxide down into water vapor and oxygen, the former being extracted from the gas before the gas is recirculated through the enclosure; deactivate sterilant 22); a bypass conduit bypassing the destroyer (See Figures 1 and 2; See page 7 - parallel branches, one of which contains means to heat the gas and means to supply a sterilant vapor or vapors to the gas, e.g. second parallel branch for bypassing deactivate sterilant 22); and a controller operable to cause vaporized hydrogen peroxide

Art Unit: 1744

from the generator to flow through the closed loop circulating system during predetermined phases of operation (See page 7 – parallel branches in the circuit one of which contains means to deactivate a sterilant to be added to the carrier gas flowing through the circuit and means to dehumidify the gas and the other of which branches contains means to heat the gas and means to supply a sterilant vapor or vapors to the gas; See pages 11-12).

Childers teaches a vapor decontamination system for decontaminating a defined region, the system comprising: a chamber defining a region (See Figure 6; See col. 5, lines 45-47 – sealable chamber 10 having an inlet port 12 and an outlet port 14); a generator for generating a vaporized hydrogen peroxide from a solution of hydrogen peroxide and water (See Figure 6; See col. 5, lines 51-58 – liquid sterilant vaporizer unit 18); a closed loop circulating system for supplying the vaporized hydrogen peroxide to the region (See Figure 6; See col. 5, lines 43-50 – conduit circuit 16 fluidly connected to the chamber ports to provide a closed-loop flow path for recirculating a carrier gas into, through, and out of the chamber 10); a destroyer within the closed loop circulating system for breaking down the vaporized hydrogen peroxide (See Figure 6; See col. 5, lines 59-65 – converter 20); and a controller operable to cause vaporized hydrogen peroxide from the generator to flow through the closed loop circulating system during pre-determined phases of operation (See Figure 6; See col. 6, line 58 to col. 7, line 13 – processing unit 42).

Both references teach the recognized importance of maintaining the sterilant vapor concentration at an optimal level during sterilization flow. Childers further teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/690,239 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

that excess moisture can deter the vaporization of the sterilant and has placed the air dryer in a bypass configuration to maintain optimal conditions. Martin emphasizes retaining given sterilant concentrations during given phases in the process. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to configure the converter/sterilant destroyer of Martin et al., in a controlled closed circuit system with a by-pass circuit, as the air dryer in Childers is, because it would provide for optimized re-cycling of the sterilant and provide accurate control of the concentration during all phases of the sterilization process.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Martin et al., fail to teach a closed-loop configuration, however, this argument is most in view of the proper combination of Martin and Childers, who clearly teaches a closed loop system.

Applicant also argues that the destroyer in Martin is in a parallel path configuration, not in series, this argument is also moot in view of the new, proper combination of Childers and Martin

Applicant also argues that Childers fails to teach or suggest a "destroyer" which is upstream of the generator, however, the Examiner would disagree, particularly in view of the closed loop configuration, and note that upon recirculation the destroyer is clearly upstream of the generator.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1744

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,239

Art Unit: 1744

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

March 17, 2006